arXiv:1110.6057v1 [math.AP] 27 Oct 2011

# Global solutions to planar magnetohydrodynamic equations with radiation and large initial data

Xulong Qin[1,2] *  Zheng-an Yao[1]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University,*
*Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China*
[2] *The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chinese University of HongKong,*
*Shatin, HongKong*

**Abstract**

A global existence result is established for a free boundary problem of planar magnetohydrodynamic fluid flows with radiation and large initial data. Particularly, it is novelty to embrace the constant transport coefficient. As a by-product, the free boundary is shown to expand outward at an algebraic rate from above in time.

## 1 Introduction

In astrophysics, stars may be viewed as compressible fluid flows formulated by the Navier-Stokes equations, which is now expressed by the conservation of mass, balance of momentum and energy. However, their dynamics are often influenced by magnetic fields and high temperature radiation. When the radiation is taken into account, the new balance law for the intensity of radiation should be added to complete the hydrodynamic system. More precisely, the material flow needs a relativistic treatment since the photons are massless particles traveling at the speed of light. In other words, it raises more difficulties in mathematics and physics. Fortunately, under some plausibly physical

---

*Corresponding author

E-mail: qin_xulong@163.com,  mcsyao@mail.sysu.edu.cn

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B45; 35L65; 35Q60; 76N10;76W05.

*Key words:* Magnetohydrodynamics(MHD); Radiation; Free boundary value problem;

hypotheses and asymptotic analysis, especially for the equilibrium diffusion model, the radiative transfer equation can be replaced by the usual hydrodynamic model equations with the pressure, the internal energy and the heat conductivity added by the special radiative components, see for instance [15]. Furthermore, when the magnetic fields are considered, the motions of conducting fluids may induce electric fields. Thus, the complex interaction of magnetic and electric fields significantly affects the hydrodynamic motion of fluid flows, which is described by Maxwell's equation. Finally, the system of magnetohydrodynamics is the following in Eulerian coordinates.

$$\partial_t \rho + \mathrm{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1.1a}$$

$$\partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \mathrm{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = \mathrm{div}\mathbb{S} + (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) \times \mathbf{H}, \tag{1.1b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\rho \mathcal{E}) + \mathrm{div}(\rho \mathcal{E}' \mathbf{u} + p\mathbf{u}) + \mathrm{div}\mathbf{Q} &= \mathrm{div}(\mathbb{S}\mathbf{u} + \nu \mathbf{H} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{H})) \\ &\quad + \mathrm{div}((\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}) \times \mathbf{H}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1c}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_t - \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times \mathbf{H}), \quad \mathrm{div}\mathbf{H} = 0, \tag{1.1d}$$

where $\rho$ is the density of fluid flows, $p$ is the pressure, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the velocity, $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the magnetic field. $\mathcal{E}$ stands for the total energy expressed by

$$\mathcal{E} = \rho \left( e + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}|^2, \quad \mathcal{E}' = \rho \left( e + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 \right),$$

which $e$ is the internal energy of flows. The viscous stress tensor

$$\mathbb{S} = \lambda'(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u})\mathbb{I} + \mu(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^\top),$$

where $\lambda'$ and $\mu$ are the viscosity coefficients of the flows with $\lambda' + 2\mu > 0$. $\mathbb{I}$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix. $\nabla \mathbf{u}^\top$ is the transpose of the matrix $\nabla \mathbf{u}$. Particularly, we emphasis that the electric field is induced by the velocity $\mathbf{u}$ and the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$,

$$\mathbf{E} = \nu \nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H},$$

and the induction equation (1.1d) is derived from neglecting the displacement current in Maxwell's equation. For more detailed physical explanation and mathematical deduction, see the appendix of [1] for reference.

The pressure $p$ of the gas obeys the equation of state

$$p = p_G + p_R = R\rho\theta + \frac{a}{3}\theta^4. \tag{1.2}$$

$R$ is a constant depending on the material properties of the gas, $\theta$ the temperature of fluid flows and the last term in the above equality denotes the radiative pressure with $a > 0$ being the stefan-Boltzmann constant. Accordingly, the internal energy is

$$e = e_G + e_R = C_v\theta + \frac{a}{\rho}\theta^4 \tag{1.3}$$

with $C_v$ being the heat capacity of the gas at constant volume, and similarly the heat flux $\mathbf{Q}$ is

$$\mathbf{Q} = -\kappa\nabla\theta = -\left(\kappa_1 + \frac{4ac\theta^3}{\hat{\kappa}\rho}\right)\nabla\theta,$$

where $\kappa_1$ is a positive constant and $c$ is the speed of light. The quantity $1/\hat{\kappa}\rho$ is the mean free path of a photon inside medium, which is related to $\theta$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\kappa_1(1+\theta^q) \le \kappa = \kappa(\rho,\theta) \le \kappa_2(1+\theta^q),\ q \ge 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ are positive constants.

In the present paper, we primarily study a free boundary problem for planar magnetohydrodynamic fluid flows. More precisely, the motion of flows is supposed to be in the $x$-direction and uniform in the transverse direction $(y, z)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho = \rho(x,t), && \theta = \theta(x,t), \\ &\mathbf{u} = (u, \mathbf{w})(x,t), && \mathbf{w} = (u_2, u_3), \\ &\mathbf{H} = (b_1, \mathbf{b})(x,t), && \mathbf{b} = (b_2, b_3), \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding dynamic equations (1.1) are reduced to the following in the Eulerian coordinates.

$$\rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0, \qquad x \in \Omega_t := (a(t), b(t)),\ t > 0, \tag{1.5a}$$

$$(\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2 + p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2)_x = (\lambda u_x)_x, \tag{1.5b}$$

$$(\rho\mathbf{w})_t + (\rho u\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{b})_x = (\mu\mathbf{w}_x)_x, \tag{1.5c}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_t + (u\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w})_x = (\nu\mathbf{b}_x)_x, \tag{1.5d}$$

$$(\rho e)_t + (\rho e u)_x - (\kappa\theta_x)_x = \lambda u_x^2 + \mu|\mathbf{w}_x|^2 + \nu|\mathbf{b}_x|^2 - pu_x. \tag{1.5e}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda' + 2\mu$ and $b_1 = 1$.

To supplement the system (1.5), we impose the following initial conditions,

$$(\rho, u, \theta, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(x, 0) = (\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)(x). \tag{1.6}$$

and the free boundary conditions

$$(\lambda u_x - p, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \theta_x)(f(t), t) = 0, \qquad f(t) = a(t),\ b(t). \tag{1.7}$$

where $f(t)$ denotes the free boundary defined by $f'(t) = u(f(t), t)$.

The aim of this paper is to establish the well-posedness of the system (1.5)–(1.7) for the general setting of the heat conductivity (1.4), especially including the case of constant transport coefficients.

Let us first review some related works in this line. For instance, Zhang-Xie [28] studied the global existence of the equations (1.5) for Dirichlet boundary problem when $q > \frac{5}{2}$, which is extended to $q > (2 + \sqrt{211})/9$ by Qin-Hu [18]. In the forthcoming companion paper [17], we also showed that global solutions exist for large initial data even if $q \geq 0$. On the other hand, Chen-Wang [1, 24] established the existence of global solutions of real gas for a free boundary or Dirichlet problem, respectively, where they assume that the pressure and internal energy satisfy the following condition with exponent $r \in [0, 1]$ and $q \geq 2(r + 1)$.

$$0 \leq \rho p \leq p_0(1 + \theta^{r+1}), \qquad e_\theta \geq e_0(1 + \theta^r).$$

We remark that the restriction on $q$ in (1.4) is only from a more mathematical point of view. The main difficulties come from the interaction of magnetic fields and fluid velocity, as well as higher nonlinearity of radiative term, which prevent solving the initial boundary problem by means of known analytic techniques and tools. As a consequence, some essential new ideas are proposed to track this open problem.

From the previous works, we know that one of the key points of the problem is to achieve the upper and lower bounds of density and temperature, which implies that there is no concentration of mass and heat. By delicate analysis, we notice that the radiative term helps us get the upper and lower bounds of density based on entropy-type energy estimates. Due to the interaction of the dynamic motion of the fluids and magnetic field, a priori estimates of temperature are much more complex. In our context, new a priori estimates of $||\theta^8||_{L^1[0,1]}$ and $||\rho_x||_{L^2[0,1]}$, which are controlled by $||\mathbf{w}_{xx}||_{L^2([0,1]\times[0,t])}$ and $||\mathbf{b}_{xx}||_{L^2([0,1]\times[0,t])}$, are proposed, see Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10, and then a priori estimates of $||\mathbf{w}_{xx}||_{L^2([0,1]\times[0,t])}$ and $||\mathbf{b}_{xx}||_{L^2([0,1]\times[0,t])}$ are subsequently obtained. With these bounds, all required a priori estimates for $||\theta^8||_{L^1[0,1]}$, $||\rho_x||_{L^2[0,1]}$ and $||u_x||_{L^4([0,1]\times[0,t])}$ are achieved, refer to (3.24)–(3.26). In the subsequential process, motivated by [1, 11, 13], we succeed in getting the upper bound of temperature and the first derivative of velocity.

To our best knowledge, there is a few results for the case of perfect flows, namely neglecting the radiative effect, or equivalently $a = 0$ in (1.2), when the transport coefficients are positive constants. Among them, Kawashima and Okada [12] proved the existence of global smooth solutions to one-dimensional motion with small initial data. In addition, Hoff and Tsyganov [8] considered uniqueness and continuous dependence on initial data of weak solution of the equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamics. Moreover, Fan-Jiang-Nakanura [7] showed the global weak solutions of plane magnetohydrodynamic compressible flows converge to a solution of the original equations with zero shear viscosity as the shear viscosity goes to zero when $q \geq 1$. However, it is an open problem for the global existence of perfect flows with large initial date, which may be shed light on by our techniques. From our analysis, we know that the focus of the problem is on the lower bound of density since the lower bound of density in our context is strongly depended on the radiative term.

However, similar obstacle on $q$ is also in existence even if we neglect the magnetic fields. For instance, Ducomet-Zlotnik [3] proved the existence and asymptotic behavior for 1D radiative and reactive gas when $q \geq 2$ and Umehara-Tani [22, 23] made further extension in this direction for 1D or spherically symmetric case when $3 \leq q < 9$, which extended to $q \geq 0$ by authors in [16] . In addition, Wang and Xie [27] showed global existence of strong solutions for the Cauchy problem when $q > \frac{5}{2}$ and the reference [4] proved global-in-time bounds of solutions and established it global exponential decay in the Lebegue and Sobolev spaces when $q \geq 2$.

Indeed, there are also extensively studies on MHD hydrodynamics, which is beyond our ability to address exhaustive references, see for instance [5, 6, 9, 10, 19–21, 25, 26, 29] and references cited therein.

We formulate our problem and state the main results in section 2, and In section 3, we give the essential a priori estimates for the existence of global solutions.

# 2 Preliminaries and Main Result

Before stating the main result, we first introduce the Lagrangian coordinates to translate the free boundary problem (1.5)–(1.7) to the fixed one for convience, which is equivalent under consideration. Let

$$y = \int_{a(t)}^{x} \rho(\xi, t) d\xi, \qquad t = t. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $0 \leq y \leq 1 = \int_0^1 \rho(\xi, t) d\xi$ which is the total mass of fluid flows without loss of generality. The system (1.5) canonically becomes

$$v_t = u_y, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$u_t = \left(-p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 + \frac{\lambda u_y}{v}\right)_y, \tag{2.2b}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \left(\mathbf{b} + \frac{\mu \mathbf{w}_y}{v}\right)_y, \tag{2.2c}$$

$$(v\mathbf{b})_t = \left(\mathbf{w} + \frac{\nu \mathbf{b}_y}{v}\right)_y, \tag{2.2d}$$

$$e_t = \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)_y + \left(-p + \frac{\lambda}{v}u_y\right) u_y + \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v} + \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}, \tag{2.2e}$$

where $v = 1/\rho$ is the specific volume. The corresponding initial data and boundary condition are as follows

$$(v, u, \theta, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(y, 0) = (v_0(y), u_0(y), \theta_0(y), \mathbf{w}_0(y), \mathbf{b}_0(y)). \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$(\lambda \frac{u_y}{v} - p, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \theta_y)|(d, t) = 0, \qquad d = 0, 1. \tag{2.4}$$

With these preliminaries, we are now ready to state the main result for the system (2.2)–(2.4).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let $q \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ are positive constants. In addition, assume that the initial data $v_0(y)$, $u_0(y)$,$\mathbf{w}_0(y)$, $\mathbf{b}_0(y)$, $\theta_0(y)$ satisfy*

$$C_0^{-1} \leq v_0(y), \quad \theta_0(y) \leq C_0,$$

*for some positive constant $C_0$ and*

$$(v_0(y), u_0(y), \mathbf{w}_0(y), \mathbf{b}_0(y), \theta_0(y)) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\Omega) \times C^{2+\alpha}(\Omega)^6,$$

*for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a unique solution $(v, u, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \theta)$ of the initial boundary value problem (2.2)–(2.4) such that*

$$(v, v_y, v_t) \in C^{\alpha, \alpha/2}(Q_T)^3,$$

*and*

$$(u, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \theta) \in C^{2+\alpha,1+2/\alpha}(Q_T)^6.$$

*Moreover, the expand rate of interface is*

$$0 < b(t) - a(t) \leq C(1+t),$$

*where $C$ is a positive constant independent of time $t$.*

**Remark 2.1.** *Obviously, the case of constant transport coefficients is included when $q = 0$. In addition, it is also valid for $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \frac{\theta^q}{\rho}$ instead of (1.4).*

The procedure for the existence of global-in-time solutions is classical from the standard method by the global a priori estimates of $(\rho, u, \theta, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$. Therefore, the main task for us is to establish the global a priori estimates.

# 3 Some a priori estimates

In order to establish the existence of global solutions, we need to deduce some a priori estimates for $(\rho, u, \theta, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$, which is essential to extending the local solutions by fixed point theorem. In the sequel, the capital $C(C(T))$ will denote generic positive constant depending on the initial data (time $T > 0$), which may be different from line to line.

## 3.1 Upper and lower bounds of density

As usual, the basic energy estimates is as follows.

**Lemma 3.1.** *We have*

$$\int_0^1 \left( e + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2) \right) dy \leq C. \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.* From (2.2a)–(2.2e), we get from the boundary conditions (2.4)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left( e + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2 + v|\mathbf{b}|^2) \right) dy = 0,$$

which implies (3.1). □

And then, we immediately arrive at the uniformed upper boundedness of density for all time.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are valid, then*

$$\rho(y,t) \leq C, \tag{3.2}$$

*and*

$$\int_0^1 \theta^4 dy \leq C, \tag{3.3}$$

*and*

$$\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy \leq C. \tag{3.4}$$

*for* $(y,t) \in (0,1) \times (0,T)$.

*Proof.* The equation (2.2b) can be rewritten as

$$u_t = \left( \lambda (\ln v)_t - p - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right)_y.$$

Integrating it over $[0,y] \times [0,t]$, we obtain

$$\lambda \ln v = \lambda \ln v_0(y) + \int_0^t (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) ds + \int_0^y (u - u_0) dx, \tag{3.5}$$

and notice that

$$\left| \int_0^y (u - u_0) dx \right| \leq C \int_0^1 u^2 dy + C \int_0^1 u_0^2 dy \leq C,$$

which implies

$$\ln v \geq -C,$$

or equivalently

$$\rho(y,t) \leq C.$$

Following from (3.1) and (3.2), we easily get

$$\int_0^1 \theta^4 dy = \int_0^1 \rho v \theta^4 dy \leq C \int_0^1 v \theta^4 dy \leq C \int_0^1 e dy \leq C.$$

Similarly, one has

$$\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy = \int_0^1 \rho v |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy \leq C \int_0^1 v |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy \leq C.$$

This ends the proof. ☐

In the sequel, the expand rate of free boundaries is obtained.

**Lemma 3.3.** *It holds that*

$$0 < \int_0^1 v dy \le C(1+t), \tag{3.6}$$

*or equivalently, by the transformation* (2.1), *one has*

$$0 < b(t) - a(t) \le C(1+t).$$

*Proof.* Integrating $[0, y]$ over (2.2b), it yields

$$\int_0^y u_t dx = \frac{\lambda u_y}{v} - (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2),$$

which implies by multiplying $v$ and integrating with respect to $y$ and $t$

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda \int_0^1 v dy \\ &= \lambda \int_0^1 v_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 v \left( p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) dyds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 v \left( \int_0^y u_t dx \right) dyds.\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the right hand side, it is deduced that

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 v \left( p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) dyds \le C \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left( e + v|\mathbf{b}|^2 \right) dyds \le C(1+t).$$

On the other hand, we get from (2.2a) by integration

$$v(y,t) = v_0(y) + \int_0^t u_y(y,s) ds.$$

Thus, it leads the third term on the right hand side to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \int_0^1 v \left( \int_0^y u_t dx \right) dyds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( v_0(y) + \int_0^t u_y(y,s) ds \right) \left( \int_0^y u dx \right) dy \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 u^2 dyds - \int_0^1 v_0(y) \left( \int_0^y u_0(x) dx \right) dy \\ &= \int_0^1 v_0(y) \left( \int_0^y (u - u_0(x)) dx \right) dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 u^2 dyds \\ &- \int_0^1 u(y,t) \left( \int_0^t u(y,s) ds \right) dy, \\ &\le C(1+t).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we utilize (3.1) to finish the proof. ☐

**Lemma 3.4.** *One has*

$$U(t)+\int_0^t V(\tau)d\tau \le C(T), \tag{3.7}$$

*where*

$$U(t)=\int_0^1 (C_v(\theta-1-\log\theta)+R(v-1-\log v))\,dy,$$

*and*

$$V(t)=\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v\theta}+\frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v\theta}+\frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v\theta}+\frac{\kappa\theta_y^2}{v\theta^2}\right)dy,$$

*for* $0\le t\le T$.

*Proof.* According to the expression of $p$ and $e$, we thereby compute

$$e_\theta\theta_t+\theta p_\theta u_y=\frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v}+\frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v}+\frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}+\left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)_y,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1\left(C_v\log\theta+R\log v+\frac{4}{3}av\theta^3\right)dy\\ &=\int_0^1\left(\frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v\theta}+\frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v\theta}+\frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v\theta}+\frac{\kappa\theta_y^2}{v\theta^2}\right)dy.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating that over $(0,1)\times(0,t)$, it yields

$$U(t)+\int_0^t V(\tau)d\tau\le C\left(1+\int_0^1 v\theta^3dy\right).$$

On the other hand, one has by (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 v\theta^3dy&\le\left(\int_0^1 v\theta^4dy\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\int_0^1 vdy\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\\ &\le C(T),\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof in conjunction with (3.1). ☐

Furthermore, we can deduce some a priori estimates for $\theta$ and $\mathbf{b}$ for all time.

**Lemma 3.5.** *We have*

$$\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+4}(y,s)ds \leq C(T), \qquad q \geq 0, \tag{3.8}$$

*and*

$$\int_0^t ||\mathbf{b}||^2_{L^\infty([0,1])}ds \leq C(T), \tag{3.9}$$

*for* $0 < t < T$.

*Proof.* There exists $y(t)$ by the mean value theorem such that

$$\theta(y(t),t) = \int_0^1 \theta dy,$$

where $y(t) \in [0,1]$ for each $t \in [0,T]$. Furthermore, it yields by Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(y,t)^{\frac{q+4}{2}} &= \left(\int_0^1 \theta dy\right)^{\frac{q+4}{2}} + \frac{q+4}{2}\int_{y(t)}^y \theta(\xi,t)^{\frac{q+4}{2}-1}\theta_\xi(\xi,t)d\xi \\
&\leq C\left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}|\theta_y|}{v^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta}\cdot\frac{v^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta^{\frac{q+4}{2}}}{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}}dy\right) \\
&\leq C\left[1 + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{v\theta^{q+4}}{\kappa}dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\leq C\left[1 + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{v\theta^{q+4}}{1+\theta^q}dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\leq C\left[1 + \left(\int_0^1 v\theta^4 dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
&\leq C\left(1 + V(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then taking square on both sides of the above inequality, we can get (3.8) by integrating it over $[0,t]$ with the help of (3.1) and (3.7).

The proof of (3.9) is directly deduced from (3.1) and (3.8). Indeed, recalling the boundary conditions (2.4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{b}|^2 &= 2\int_0^y \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_\xi(\xi,t)d\xi \\
&\leq C\int_0^1 \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v\theta}dy + C\int_0^1 v\theta|\mathbf{b}|^2dy,
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t ||\mathbf{b}||^2_{L^\infty([0,1])} ds &\leq C\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v\theta} dyds + C\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\theta \left(\int_0^1 v|\mathbf{b}|^2 dy\right) ds. \\
&\leq C(T).
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof. ☐

With these preliminary processes, the lower bound of density can be inferred that

**Lemma 3.6.** *One has*

$$\rho(y,t) \geq C(T). \tag{3.10}$$

*Proof.* Recalling the equality (3.5), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \ln v &= \lambda \ln v_0(y) + \int_0^t (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) d\tau + \int_0^y (u - u_0) dx \\
&\leq C(T) + C\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^4 ds + C\int_0^t ||\mathbf{b}||^2_{L^\infty([0,1])} ds + C\int_0^1 u^2 dy \\
&\leq C(T),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5. ☐

## 3.2 Upper and lower bounds of temperature

At first, we are in a position to deduce a priori estimates of the derivatives of $(u, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$.

**Lemma 3.7.** *One has*

$$\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(u_y^2 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_y|^2\right) dyds \leq C(T). \tag{3.11}$$

*Proof.* The equality (2.2e) can be rewritten as

$$e_t + pu_y = \frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v} + \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v} + \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v} + \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)_y. \tag{3.12}$$

Then integrating (3.12) over $[0,1]\times[0,t]$, we get from (3.3) and (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_0^1\left(\frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v}+\frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v}+\frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}\right)dyds\\
&=\int_0^1(e-e_0)dy+\int_0^t\int_0^1 pu_ydyds\\
&\le C+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1\frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v}dyds+C\int_0^t\int_0^1 p^2dyds\\
&\le C+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1\frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v}dyds+C\int_0^t\max_{[0,1]}\theta^4\left(\int_0^1\theta^4dy\right)ds\\
&\le C(T)+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1\frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v}dyds,
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof according to (3.8) and (3.10). □

**Lemma 3.8.** *The following inequalities hold for* $\mathbf{b}$

$$\int_0^t\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_y|^2dyds\le C(T), \tag{3.13}$$

*and*

$$\int_0^t\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}|^8dyds\le C(T). \tag{3.14}$$

*when* $t\in[0,T]$.

*Proof.* By (3.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}|^8dyds\\
&\le\int_0^t\max_{[0,1]}|\mathbf{b}|^6\left(\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}|^2dy\right)ds\\
&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}|^6dyds+C\int_0^t\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}|^4|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_y|dyds\\
&\le\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}|^8dyds+C\int_0^t\int_0^1\frac{|\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}dyds+C(T),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_0^t\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}|^8dyds\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}dyds+C(T). \tag{3.15}$$

Multiplying $4|\mathbf{b}|^2\mathbf{b}$ on both sides of (2.2d), one has

$$(v|\mathbf{b}|^4)_t = \left(\frac{\nu \mathbf{b}_y}{v} + \mathbf{w}\right)_y \cdot 4|\mathbf{b}|^2\mathbf{b} - 3v_t|\mathbf{b}|^4,$$

which follows from (3.9) and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 v|\mathbf{b}|^4 dy + 12\nu \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v} dyds \\
&= \int_0^1 v|\mathbf{b}|^4(y,0)dy - 12\int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}|^2 \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b}_y dyds - 3\int_0^t \int_0^1 u_y|\mathbf{b}|^4 dyds \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v} dyds + C(\varepsilon)\int_0^t ||\mathbf{b}||^2_{L^\infty([0,1])} \left(\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}|^2 dy\right) ds \\
&+ \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}|^8 dyds + C(\varepsilon)\int_0^t \int_0^1 u_y^2 dyds + C(T) \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v} dyds + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}|^8 dyds + C(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.15) into the above inequality, we deduce (3.13) and (3.14) by taking sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. ☐

In the following, we first verify that the temperature is dominated by velocity and magnetic fields.

**Lemma 3.9.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \theta^8 dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \kappa\theta^3\theta_y^2 dyds \\
&\leq C(T) + C(T)\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(T)\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Multiplying $\theta^4$ on both sides of (2.2e), and then integrating it over

$[0,1]\times[0,t]$, it leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \theta^8 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \kappa\theta^3\theta_y^2 dyds\\
&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (\theta^8|u_y| + \theta^4 u_y^2)dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\mu\mathbf{w}_y^2}{v} + \frac{\nu\mathbf{b}_y^2}{v}\right)\cdot\theta^4 dyds\\
&\le C + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (\theta^{12} + |u_y|^3)dyds + C\int_0^t \left(||\mathbf{w}_y||_{L^\infty}^2 + ||\mathbf{b}_y||_{L^\infty}^2\right)\int_0^1 \theta^4 dyds\\
&\le C + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (\theta^{12} + |u_y|^3)dyds\\
&+ C\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we get from (2.2b)

$$
\begin{cases}
h_t = \frac{\lambda}{v}h_{yy} - (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2),\\
h|_{t=0} = h_0(y),\\
h|_{y=0,1} = 0,
\end{cases}
\tag{3.16}
$$

where $h = \int_0^y u d\xi$. The standard $L^p$ estimates of solutions to linear parabolic problem yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t\int_0^1 |u_y|^3 dyds &= \int_0^t\int_0^1 |h_{yy}|^3 dyds\\
&\le C\left(1 + \int_0^t\int_0^1 (p^3 + |\mathbf{b}|^6)dyds\right)\\
&\le C(T)\left(1 + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta^{12} dyds\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \theta^8 dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \kappa\theta^3\theta_y^2 dyds\\
&\leq C + C\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+ C\int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta^{12} dyds + C\int_0^t \int_0^1 |u_y|^3 dyds\\
&\leq C(T)\left(1 + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta^{12} dyds\right) + C\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq C(T) + C\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^4 \int_0^1 \theta^8 dyds\\
&+ C\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It finishes the proof by Grönwall inequality and (3.8). □

The following lemma also declare a relationship of density and velocity, as well as magnetic fields.

**Lemma 3.10.** *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, it satisfies that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 v_y^2 dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \theta v_y^2 dyds\\
&\leq C(T) + \varepsilon\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

*Proof.* We can rewrite the equation (2.2b) as follows

$$
\left(u - \frac{\lambda v_y}{v}\right)_t = -\left(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2\right)_y. \tag{3.17}
$$

Multiplying $\left(u - \frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)$ on both sides of (3.17) and integrating it over $(0,1)\times(0,t)$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2 dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda R\theta}{v^3} v_y^2 dyds\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(u - \frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2 (y,0) dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{R\theta u v_y}{v^2} dyds\\
&- \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{R}{v} + \frac{4a}{3}\theta^3\right)\theta_y + \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_y\right]\left(u - \frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right) dyds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}
$$

To complete the proof, it only evaluates all terms on the right hand side of (3.18). Particularly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{R\theta u v_y}{v^2}dyds\\
&\leq \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta v_y^2 dyds + C_\varepsilon\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\theta\cdot\left(\int_0^1 u^2dy\right)ds\\
&\leq \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta v_y^2 dyds + C_\varepsilon\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\theta(y,s)ds\\
&\leq \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta v_y^2 dyds + C(T),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and further

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{R}{v}+\frac{4a}{3}\theta^3\right)\theta_y\right]\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)dyds\right|\\
&\leq C\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa\theta_y^2}{\theta^2}dyds + \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \kappa\theta^3\theta_y^2dyds\\
&+\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\theta^2+\theta^3}{\kappa}\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2dyds\\
&\leq C+\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \kappa\theta^3\theta_y^2dyds+\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\frac{\theta^2+\theta^3}{\kappa}\cdot\int_0^1\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2dyds.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

In addition, by (3.13), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_y\cdot\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)dyds\right|\\
&\leq C\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_y|^2dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2dyds\\
&\leq C(T)+C\int_0^t\int_0^1\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2dyds.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

Finally, substituting (3.19)–(3.21) into (3.18), it leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2dy+\int_0^t\int_0^1\theta v_y^2dyds\\
&\leq C(T)+\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1\kappa\theta^3\theta_y^2dyds\\
&+C\int_0^t\left(\max_{[0,1]}\frac{\theta^2+\theta^3}{\kappa}+1\right)\cdot\int_0^1\left(u-\frac{\lambda}{v}v_y\right)^2dyds,
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof by Grönwall inequality and Lemma 3.9 . $\square$

Combining Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10, we can give a priori estimates of velocity and magnetic fields, which play an important role to include the constant heat conductivity.

**Lemma 3.11.** *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & ||\mathbf{b}||_{L^\infty((0,1)\times(0,T))} + \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_y|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^2) dy \\ & + \int_0^t \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_y|^4 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^4 + |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2) dyds \le C(T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (2.2c) by $\mathbf{w}_{yy}$ and integrating it over $[0,1]\times[0,t]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 dy = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_y(y,0)|^2 dy - \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\mathbf{b} + \frac{\mu \mathbf{w}_y}{v}\right)_y \cdot \mathbf{w}_{yy} dyds \\ & \le C - C(T)\int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dyds + C\int_0^t \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_y| + |v_y||\mathbf{w}_y|)|\mathbf{w}_{yy}| dyds \\ & \le C - \frac{3C(T)}{4}\int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dyds + C(T)\int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dyds \\ & + C(T)\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 \left(\int_0^1 v_y^2 dy\right) ds \\ & \le C - \frac{3C(T)}{4}\int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dyds \\ & + \left(\varepsilon \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \times \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \le C - \frac{C(T)}{2}\int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dyds + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dyds \le C + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds, \tag{3.23}$$

On the other hand, we can also rewrite (2.2d) as follows

$$\mathbf{b}_t = -\frac{u_y}{v}\mathbf{b} + \frac{1}{v}\left(\mathbf{w} + \frac{\nu \mathbf{b}_y}{v}\right)_y .$$

Following the same procedure as above, we get from (3.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dy \\
&\leq C - C\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 dyds \\
&+ C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (|u_y||\mathbf{b}| + |\mathbf{w}_y| + |v_y||\mathbf{b}_y|)|\mathbf{b}_{yy}|dyds \\
&\leq C - \frac{3C}{4}\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 dyds + C\sup_{(y,t)\in(0,1)\times(0,t)}|\mathbf{b}|^2\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_y^2 dyds \\
&+ C\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}|\mathbf{b}_y|^2\left(\int_0^1 v_y^2 dy\right)ds \\
&\leq C - \frac{3C}{4}\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 dyds + C\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dy \\
&+ \left(\varepsilon\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\times\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C(T) - \frac{C}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 dyds + \frac{1}{4}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dy + \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 dyds \leq C(T) + \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_{yy}^2 dyds.
$$

This together with (3.23) leads to

$$
\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_y|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_y|^2) dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2) dyds \leq C(T).
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_y|^4 dyds &\leq \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}|\mathbf{w}_y|^2\left(\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 dy\right)ds \\
&\leq C(T)\int_0^t\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_y|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2) dyds \\
&\leq C(T).
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^4 dyds &\le \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 \left(\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dy\right) ds \\ &\le C(T)\int_0^t\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_y|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2) dyds \\ &\le C(T).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

With (3.22) in hand, we thereby can check Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10 again and deduce that

**Corollary 3.12.** *We have*

$$\int_0^1 v_y^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta v_y^2 dyds \le C(T). \tag{3.24}$$

*and*

$$\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+13} ds + \int_0^1 \theta^8 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \kappa\theta^3\theta_y^2 dyds \le C(T). \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly, using $L^p$ estimates of solutions to linear parabolic problem again, we get from (3.14) and (3.16)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_0^1 |u_y|^4 dyds &= \int_0^t\int_0^1 |w_{yy}|^4 dyds \\ &\le C\left(1 + \int_0^t\int_0^1 (p^3 + |\mathbf{b}|^8) dyds\right) \\ &\le C\left(1 + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta^{16} dyds\right) \\ &\le C\left(1 + \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]} \theta^8 \int_0^1 \theta^8 dyds\right) \\ &\le C(T).\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

In order to get the upper bound of temperature, we also need to establish higher order a priori estimates of $(v, u, \theta, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$. Thus, we introduce some auxiliary new variables motivated by [13].

$$X := \int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta^q)\theta_t^2 dyds, \tag{3.27}$$

$$Y := \max_{0\le t\le T}\int_0^1 (1+\theta^{2q})\theta_y^2 dy, \tag{3.28}$$

$$Z := \max_{0\le t\le T}\int_0^1 u_{yy}^2 dy. \tag{3.29}$$

By interpolation and embedding theorem, It follows from (3.29) that

$$|u_y|^{(0)} \leq C(1+Z^{\frac{3}{8}}), \tag{3.30}$$

where $|\cdot|^{(0)} = \sup|\cdot|$.

**Lemma 3.13.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have*

$$\max_{[0,1]\times[0,t]} \theta(y,s) \leq C + CY^{\frac{1}{2(q+5)}}, \tag{3.31}$$

*for* $(y,t) \in (0,1)\times(0,T)$.

*Proof.* By the embedding theorem, we deduce that

$$\max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+5}(y,t) \leq C\int_0^1 \theta^{q+5}dy + C\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{q+4}|\theta_y|dy,$$

which implies by (3.25) and Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+5}(y,t) \\
&\leq C\max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+1}\int_0^1 \theta^4 dy + C\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^q|\theta_y|(1+\theta)^4 dy \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+5} + C\left(\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{2q}\theta_y^2 dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^8 dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+5} + C(T)\left(\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{2q}\theta_y^2 dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(T) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\max_{[0,1]} \theta^{q+5} + CY^{\frac{1}{2}} + C(T).
\end{aligned}$$

It finishes the proof. □

**Lemma 3.14.** *Furthermore, we have*

$$X + Y \leq C(T)\left(1 + Z^{\frac{q+5}{q+10}}\right). \tag{3.32}$$

*Proof.* We introduce the function as in [13, 22]

$$K(v,\theta) := \int_0^\theta \frac{\kappa(v,\xi)}{v}d\xi.$$

The simple calculation leads to

$$K_t = \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_t + K_v u_y, \tag{3.33}$$

$$K_{yt} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)_t + K_{vv} v_y u_y + K_v u_{yy} + \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_v v_y \theta_t, \tag{3.34}$$

$$|K_v|, |K_{vv}| \le C(1+\theta^{q+1}). \tag{3.35}$$

Multiplying (2.2e) by $K_t$ and integrating it over $(0,1)\times(0,t)$, we get

$$\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(e_\theta\theta_t + \theta p_\theta u_y - \frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v} - \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v} - \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}\right) K_t dyds + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y K_{yt} dyds = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa e_\theta \theta_t^2}{v} dyds + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)_t dyds \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6, \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

where $I_i, (i = 1, \cdots, 6)$ is defined as follows

$$I_1 = -\int_0^t\int_0^1 e_\theta\theta_t K_v u_y dyds$$

$$I_2 = -\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_y - \frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v} - \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v} - \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}\right) \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_t dyds$$

$$I_3 = -\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_y - \frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v} - \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v} - \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}\right) K_v u_y dyds$$

$$I_4 = -\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y K_{vv} v_y u_y dyds$$

$$I_5 = -\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y K_v u_{yy} dyds$$

$$I_6 = -\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_v v_y\theta_t dyds.$$

In the sequel, we will evaluate all terms (3.36) according to (3.33)–(3.35). Firstly, one has by (3.27) and (3.28)

$$\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa e_\theta \theta_t^2}{v} dyds \ge C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta^3)(1+\theta^q)\theta_t^2 dyds \ge CX, \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)_t dyds \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)^2 dy - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right)^2 (y,0)dy \\
&\geq C\int_0^1 (1+\theta^q)^2\theta_y^2 dy - C \geq CY - C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Secondly, we have by Cauchy-Schawtz inequality and (3.31)

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &= \left|\int_0^t \int_0^1 e_\theta \theta_t K_v u_y dyds\right| \\
&\leq C\int_0^t \int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{q+4}|\theta_t||u_y|dyds \\
&\leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon \int_0^t \int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{q+8} u_y^2 dyds \\
&\leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon Y^{\frac{q+8}{2(q+5)}} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_y^2 dyds \\
&\leq \varepsilon(X+Y) + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

for any fixed sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, and similarly, by recalling (3.22) and (3.26), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&|I_2| = \left|\int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_y - \frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v} - \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v} - \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}\right)\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_t dyds\right| \\
&\leq C\int_0^t \int_0^1 \left[(1+\theta)^{q+4}|u_y\theta_t| + (1+\theta)^q|\theta_t|(u_y^2 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_y|^2)\right] dyds \\
&\leq \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon|(1+\theta)^{q+8}|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 u_y^2 dyds \\
&+ C_\varepsilon|(1+\theta)^q|^{(0)} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(|u_y|^4 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^4 + |\mathbf{b}_y|^4\right) dyds \\
&\leq \varepsilon(X+Y) + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &= \left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(\theta p_\theta u_y - \frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v} - \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2}{v} - \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2}{v}\right) K_v u_y dyds\right| \\
&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{q+5}u_y^2 dyds + \int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{q+1}|u_y|^3 dyds \\
&\quad + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{q+1}|u_y|(|\mathbf{w}_y|^2+|\mathbf{b}_y|^2)dyds \\
&\le C|(1+\theta)^{q+5}|^{(0)}\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_y^2 dyds \\
&\quad + |(1+\theta)^{q+1}|^{(0)}\int_0^t\int_0^1 |u_y|^3 dyds \\
&\quad + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{q+1}|u_y|(|\mathbf{w}_y|^2+|\mathbf{b}_y|^2)dyds \\
&\le CY^{\frac{q+5}{2(q+5)}} + CY^{\frac{q+1}{2(q+5)}}\int_0^t\int_0^1 (u_y^2+|\mathbf{w}_y|^4+|\mathbf{b}_y|^4)dyds + C \\
&\le \varepsilon Y + C.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.41}$$

Thirdly, it also yields in view of (3.8) and (3.30)

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &= \left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y K_{vv} v_y u_y dyds\right| \\
&\le C\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa\theta_y^2}{\theta^2}dyds\right)^{\frac12} \times \left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{3q+4}u_y^2 v_y^2 dyds\right)^{\frac12} \\
&\le CZ^{\frac38}Y^{\frac{q}{2(q+5)}} \\
&\le \varepsilon Y + CZ^{\frac{3(q+5)}{4(q+10)}},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| &= \left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y K_v u_{yy} dyds\right| \\
&\le C\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa\theta_y^2}{\theta^2}dyds\right)^{\frac12} \cdot \left(\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta)^{(3q+4)}u_{yy}^2 dyds\right)^{\frac12} \\
&\le CZ^{\frac12}Y^{\frac{q}{2(q+5)}} \\
&\le \varepsilon Y + CZ^{\frac{q+5}{q+10}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.43}$$

Finally, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_6| &= \left|\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_v v_y\theta_t dyds\right| \\
&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1 \left|\frac{\kappa}{v}\theta_y\right|(1+\theta)^q|v_y\theta_t|dyds \\
&\le \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon|(1+\theta)^q|^{(0)}\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)^2\left(\int_0^1 v_y^2dy\right)ds \qquad (3.44)\\
&\le \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon Y^{\frac{q}{2(q+5)}}\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)^2\left(\int_0^1 v_y^2dy\right)ds \\
&\le \varepsilon X + C_\varepsilon Y^{\frac{q}{2(q+5)}}\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)^2 ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the embedding theorem again, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)^2 ds \\
&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)^2dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1\left|\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\cdot\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)_y\right|dyds \\
&\le C|\kappa\theta^2|^{(0)}\int_0^t\int_0^1\frac{\kappa\theta_y^2}{\theta^2}dyds \\
&\quad + C\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1\frac{\kappa\theta_y^2}{\theta^2}dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^t\int_0^1\kappa\theta^2\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)_y^2dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le C\Bigg\{|(1+\theta)^{q+2}|^{(0)} \\
&\quad + \left(\int_0^t\int_0^1(1+\theta)^{q+2}\left(e_\theta^2\theta_t^2+\theta^2p_\theta^2u_y^2+u_y^4+|\mathbf{w}_y|^4+|\mathbf{b}_y|^4\right)dyds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_0^1(1+\theta)^{q+2}e_\theta^2\theta_t^2dyds \\
&\le C|(1+\theta)^8|^{(0)}\int_0^t\int_0^1(1+\theta)^q\theta_t^2dyds \\
&\le C\left(X + Y^{\frac{8}{2(q+5)}}X\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_0^1(1+\theta)^{q+2}\theta^2p_\theta^2u_y^2dyds\\&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1(1+\theta)^{q+10}u_y^2dyds\\&\le C|(1+\theta)^{q+10}|^{(0)}\int_0^t\int_0^1u_y^2dyds\\&\le C\left(1+Y^{\frac{q+10}{2(q+5)}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_0^1(1+\theta)^{q+2}\left(u_y^4+|\mathbf{w}_y|^4+|\mathbf{b}_y|^4\right)dyds\\&\le C|(1+\theta)^{q+2}|^{(0)}\int_0^t\int_0^1\left(u_y^4+|\mathbf{w}_y|^4+|\mathbf{b}_y|^4\right)dyds\\&\le C\left(1+Y^{\frac{q+2}{2(q+5)}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}&Y^{\frac{q}{2(q+5)}}\int_0^t\max_{[0,1]}\left(\frac{\kappa\theta_y}{v}\right)^2ds\\&\le C\left(1+Y^{\frac{q+1}{q+5}}+X^{\frac12}Y^{\frac{q+4}{2(q+5)}}+Y^{\frac{3q+10}{4(q+5)}}\right)\\&\le\varepsilon(X+Y),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.44) shows that

$$|I_6|\le\varepsilon(X+Y).\tag{3.45}$$

This together with (3.36)–(3.43) completes the proof. □

With the above a priori estimates, we can succeed in obtaining the upper bound of temperature, which is another essential contribution of the paper.

**Lemma 3.15.** *The following a priori estimates hold,*

$$|\theta|^{(0)}+|u_y|^{(0)}+|u|^{(0)}\le C(T).\tag{3.46}$$

*and*

$$\int_0^1(\theta_y^2+u_{yy}^2+u_t^2)dy+\int_0^t\int_0^1(\theta_t^2+|\mathbf{b}_t|^2+u_{yt}^2)dyds\le C(T),\tag{3.47}$$

*Proof.* Differentiate (2.2b) with respect to $t$, multiply it by $u_t$, and then integrate to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \frac{u_t^2}{2}dy + \lambda\int_0^1 \frac{u_{yt}^2}{v}dy = \int_0^1 \left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2\right)_t + \frac{\lambda u_y^2}{v^2}\right) u_{yt}dy.$$

As a consequence, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 u_t^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 u_{yt}^2 dyds \\
&\leq C + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_y^2|u_{yt}|dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 |p_t u_{yt}|dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_t u_{yt}|dyds \\
&\leq \frac{3}{4}\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_{yt}^2 dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_y^4 dyds \\
&+ C\int_0^t\int_0^1 p_t^2 dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_t|^2 dyds + C(T) \\
&\leq C(T) + \frac{3}{4}\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_{yt}^2 dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 p_t^2 dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_t|^2 dyds
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 u_t^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 u_{yt}^2 dyds \\
&\leq C(T) + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 p_t^2 dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_t|^2 dyds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_0^1 p_t^2 dyds = \int_0^t\int_0^1 (p_v v_t + p_\theta\theta_t)^2 dyds \\
&= \int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(-\frac{R\theta}{v^2}u_y + \left(R\rho + \frac{4a}{3}\theta^3\right)\theta_t\right)^2 dyds \\
&\leq C\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta^2 u_y^2 dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta^6)\theta_t^2 dyds \\
&\leq C(T)|\theta^2|^{(0)}\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_y^2 dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (1+\theta^6)\theta_t^2 dyds \\
&\leq C(T)Y^{\frac{1}{q+5}} + X + XY^{\frac{3}{q+5}} \\
&\leq C(T)\left(1 + Z^{\frac{q+8}{q+10}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

and follows from (3.22) and (3.24)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_t|^2 dyds\\
&\le \int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(|\mathbf{b}|^2u_y^2+|\mathbf{w}_y|^2+|\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2+|\mathbf{b}_y|^2v_y^2\right)dyds\\
&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1 u_y^2dyds + C\int_0^t\int_0^1(|\mathbf{w}_y|^2+|\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2)dyds\\
&+C\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}|\mathbf{b}_y|^2\left(\int_0^1 v_y^2dy\right)ds\\
&\le C + C\int_0^t\int_0^1\left(|\mathbf{b}_y|^2+|\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2\right)dyds\\
&\le C(T).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

Substituting (3.49) and (3.50) into (3.48), it shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 u_t^2dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 u_{yt}^2dyds\\
&\le C\left(1+Z^{\frac{q+8}{q+10}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

On the other hand, it is also deduced from (2.2b) that

$$u_{yy} = \frac{v}{\lambda}\left(u_t + \left(p+\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2}\right)_y + \frac{\lambda v_y u_y}{v^2}\right)$$

and then by integration, it follows from (3.51)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 u_{yy}^2dy &\le C\int_0^1\left(u_t^2+p_y^2+|\mathbf{b}|^2\cdot|\mathbf{b}_y|^2+v_y^2u_y^2\right)dy + C\\
&\le C\int_0^1 u_t^2dy + C\int_0^1 p_y^2dy + C\int_0^1 v_y^2u_y^2dy + C\int_0^1|\mathbf{b}_y|^2dy + C\\
&\le C\left(1+Z^{\frac{q+8}{q+10}} + \int_0^1(1+\theta^6)\theta_y^2dy + \left(|\theta^2|^{(0)}+|u_y^2|^{(0)}\right)\int_0^1 v_y^2dy\right)\\
&\le C\left(1+Z^{\frac{q+8}{q+10}}+Y^{\frac{q+8}{q+5}}+Z^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)\\
&\le C(T)\left(1+Z^{\frac{q+8}{q+10}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $Z \le C$ due to $0 < \frac{q+8}{q+10} < 1$, and $X$ and $Y$ are also bounded. Subsequently, $|\theta|^{(0)}$, $|u_y|^{(0)}$, $\int_0^1(u_t^2+\theta_y^2+u_{yy}^2)dy$ and $\int_0^t\int_0^1(u_{yt}^2+\theta_t^2)dyds$ are also bounded. □

In the sequel, the lower bound of temperature is obtained.

**Lemma 3.16.** *One has*

$$\theta(y,t) \geq C(T).$$

*Proof.* Let $\Theta = \frac{1}{\theta}$, then (3.12) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} e_\theta \Theta_t = & \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\Theta_y\right)_y - \left(\frac{2\kappa\Theta_y^2}{v\Theta} + \frac{\mu|\mathbf{w}_y|^2\Theta^2}{v} + \frac{\nu|\mathbf{b}_y|^2\Theta^2}{v}\right) \\ & - \frac{\lambda\Theta^2}{v}\left(u_y - \frac{vp_\theta}{2\lambda\Theta}\right)^2 + \frac{vp_\theta^2}{4\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\Theta_t \leq \frac{1}{e_\theta}\left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\Theta_y\right)_y + C(T),$$

by (3.2) and (3.46) for some positive constant. Define the operator $\mathscr{L} := -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{e_\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$ and then

$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}\widetilde{\Theta} < 0, & \text{on } Q_T = (0,1)\times(0,T), \\ \widetilde{\Theta}|_{t=0} \geq 0 & \text{on } [0,1], \\ \widetilde{\Theta}_y|_{y=0,1} = 0 & \text{on } [0,T], \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{\Theta}(y,t) = C(T)t + \max_{[0,1]}\frac{1}{\theta_0(y)} - \Theta(y,t)$, and by the comparison theorem, one has

$$\min_{(y,t)\in\overline{Q_T}} \widetilde{\Theta}(y,t) \geq 0,$$

which is inferred

$$\theta(y,t) \geq \left(Ct + \max_{[0,1]}\frac{1}{\theta_0(y)}\right)^{-1},$$

for any $(y,t) \in \overline{Q_T}$. ☐

## 3.3 Higher order derivatives a priori estimates of $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \theta)$

**Lemma 3.17.** *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathbf{w}_y, \mathbf{b}_y, v_y)|^{(0)} + \int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_t|^2 + |(v\mathbf{b})_t|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2)dy \\ & + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \left(|\mathbf{w}_{ty}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{ty}|^2 + \theta_{yy}^2\right) dyds \leq C(T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we differentiate (2.2c) with respect to $t$, multiply it with $\mathbf{w}_t$ and then integrate over $(0,1)\times(0,t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_t|^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\mu}{v}|\mathbf{w}_{ty}|^2 dyds \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_t|^2(y,0)dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\mu}{v^2}u_y\mathbf{w}_y\cdot\mathbf{w}_{ty}dyds - \int_0^t\int_0^1 \mathbf{b}_t\cdot\mathbf{w}_{ty}dyds \\
&\le C + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\mu}{v}|\mathbf{w}_{ty}|^2 dyds + C(T)\int_0^t\int_0^1 (u_y^4 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^4 + |\mathbf{b}_t|^2)dyds,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows from (3.22), (3.46) and (3.47)

$$\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_t|^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{ty}|^2 dyds \le C(T). \tag{3.53}$$

Similarly, we get from (2.2c)

$$\mathbf{w}_{yy} = \frac{v}{\mu}\left(\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{b}_y + \frac{\mu}{v^2}v_y\mathbf{w}_y\right),$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dy &\le C\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{w}_t|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 + v_y^2|\mathbf{w}_y|^2)dy \\
&\le C + C\max_{[0,1]}|\mathbf{w}_y|^2\int_0^1 v_y^2 dy \\
&\le C(T) + C\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 dy + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dy \\
&\le C(T) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dy.
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\int_0^1 |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2 dy \le C(T).$$

Secondly, we further deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa^2}{v^2}\theta_{yy}^2 dyds \\
&\le C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (\theta_t^2 + u_y^2 + u_y^4 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^4 + |\mathbf{b}_y|^4 + v_y^2\theta_y^2 + \theta_y^4)dyds \\
&\le C + C\int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\theta_y^2\int_0^1 (v_y^2 + \theta_y^2)dyds \\
&\le C + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_y^2 dyds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_0^1 \frac{\kappa^2}{v^2}\theta_{yy}^2 dyds,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_{yy}^2 dyds \le C(T) + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_y^2 dyds \le C(T). \tag{3.54}$$

In addition, by (3.5), one has

$$\lambda(\ln v)_y = \lambda(\ln v_0(y))_y + \int_0^t (p_y + \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{b}_y)ds + (u-u_0)$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} v_y^2 &\le C + C\int_0^t (|\mathbf{b}_y|^2 + p_v^2 v_y^2 + p_\theta^2\theta_y^2)ds \\ &\le C + C\int_0^t\int_0^1 (|\mathbf{b}_y|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 + \theta_y^2 + \theta_{yy}^2)dyds + C\int_0^t v_y^2 ds \\ &\le C + C\int_0^t v_y^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that $v_y$ is bounded by Grönwall inequality. Lastly, differentiate (2.2d) with respect to $t$ and then multiply by $(v\mathbf{b})_t$ and integrate to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 (v\mathbf{b})_t^2 dy + \int_0^1 \nu|\mathbf{b}_{yt}|^2 dy \\ =& -\int_0^1 \frac{\nu}{v}\mathbf{b}_{yt}\cdot(\mathbf{b}u_{yy} + \mathbf{b}_y u_y + \mathbf{b}_t v_y)dy \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{\nu}{v^2}u_y\mathbf{b}_y\cdot(\mathbf{b}u_{yy} + \mathbf{b}_y u_y + \mathbf{b}_t v_y + \mathbf{b}_{ty}v)dy \\ &- \int_0^1 \mathbf{w}_t\cdot(\mathbf{b}u_{yy} + \mathbf{b}_y u_y + \mathbf{b}_t v_y + \mathbf{b}_{ty}v)dy \\ \le& \varepsilon\int_0^1 \nu|\mathbf{b}_{yt}|^2 dy + C\int_0^1 (\mathbf{b}_y^2 + \mathbf{b}_t^2 + \mathbf{w}_t^2)dy + C\int_0^1 (u_{yy}^2 + |\mathbf{w}_{yy}|^2)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

So we get from (3.22), (3.47) and (3.53)

$$\int_0^1 (v\mathbf{b})_t^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yt}|^2 dyds \le C, \tag{3.56}$$

by integration for any fixed sufficiently small $\varepsilon$. Furthermore, by (2.2d), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 dy &\le C\int_0^1 ((v\mathbf{b})_t^2 + |\mathbf{w}_y|^2 + v_y^2|\mathbf{b}_y|^2)dy \\ &\le C(T) + C\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dy \le C(T), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$|\mathbf{b}_y|^2 \le C\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_y|^2 dy + C\int_0^1 |\mathbf{b}_{yy}|^2 dy \le C(T).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

**Lemma 3.18.** *We have*

$$|\theta_y|^{(0)} + \int_0^1 (\theta_t^2 + \theta_{yy}^2)dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_{yt}^2 dyds \le C(T). \tag{3.57}$$

*Proof.* Differentiate (2.2e) with respect to $t$, multiply it by $e_\theta\theta_t$ and then integrate it over $[0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^1 \frac{(e_\theta\theta_t)^2}{2}dy + \int_0^1 \frac{\kappa}{v}e_\theta\theta_{yt}^2 dy\\
&= \int_0^1 \Big[ -p_\theta e_\theta u_y\theta_t^2 - \theta p_{\theta v}e_\theta u_y^2\theta_t - \theta p_{\theta\theta}e_\theta u_y\theta_t^2 - \theta p_\theta e_\theta u_{yt}\theta_t\\
&\quad + \frac{2\lambda}{v}e_\theta u_y u_{yt}\theta_t - \frac{\lambda}{v^2}e_\theta u_y^3\theta_t + \frac{2\mu}{v}e_\theta \mathbf{w}_y\cdot\mathbf{w}_{yt}\theta_t - \frac{\mu}{v^2}e_\theta u_y|\mathbf{w}_y|^2\theta_t\\
&\quad + \frac{2\nu}{v}e_\theta\theta_t\mathbf{b}_y\cdot\mathbf{b}_{yt} - \frac{\nu}{v^2}e_\theta|\mathbf{b}_y|^2\theta_t - \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_v e_{\theta v}v_y u_y\theta_y\theta_t - \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_v e_{\theta\theta}u_y\theta_y^2\theta_t\\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_v e_\theta u_y\theta_y\theta_{yt} - \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_\theta e_{\theta v}v_y\theta_y\theta_t^2 - \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_\theta e_{\theta\theta}\theta_y^2\theta_t^2\\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\kappa}{v}\right)_\theta e_\theta\theta_t\theta_y\theta_{yt} - \frac{\kappa}{v}e_{\theta v}v_y\theta_t\theta_{yt} - \frac{\kappa}{v}e_{\theta\theta}\theta_y\theta_t\theta_{yt}\Big]dy,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies by integration

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dy + \int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_{yt}^2 dyds\\
&\le C\left(1 + \int_0^t\int_0^1 (v_y^2 + \theta_y^2)\theta_t^2 dyds\right)\\
&\le C\left(1 + \int_0^t \max_{[0,1]}\theta_t^2 ds\right)\\
&\le C\left(1 + \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_{yt}^2 dyds + C_\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dyds\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and then $\int_0^1 \theta_t^2 dy$ and $\int_0^t\int_0^1 \theta_{yt}^2 dyds$ are bounded. Taking the same operation as $\mathbf{b}_{yy}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{yy}$, we deduce from (2.2e)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \theta_{yy}^2 dy &\le \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(1+\theta_t^2+u_y^2+u_y^2+v_y^2\theta_y^2+\theta_y^4\right)dy\\ &\le C\left(1+\max_{[0,1]}\theta_y^2\cdot\int_0^1(v_y^2+\theta_y^2)dy\right)\\ &\le \varepsilon\int_0^1 \theta_{yy}^2 dy + C(T),\end{aligned}$$

which implies the boundedness of $\int_0^1 \theta_{yy}^2 dy$, and so is $|\theta_y|^{(0)}$ by employing the embedding theorem. $\square$

Now we have established Lemmas 3.1–3.18, we can prove that the Hölder estimates of solutions by the routine manner. Indeed, from (3.46), (3.52) and (3.57), one has

$$(u,\mathbf{w},\mathbf{b},\theta)\in C^{1,0}(Q_T)^6.$$

Following the procedure of [2], [22] or [28], we can get

$$(u,\mathbf{w},\mathbf{b},\theta)\in C^{1,\frac{1}{2}}(Q_T)^6,$$

$$(u_y,\mathbf{w}_y,\mathbf{b}_y,\theta_y)\in C^{\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{6}}(Q_T)^6.$$

Finally, by the classical schauder estimates and the methods in [14], the Hölder estimates of solutions are derived. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

# Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (NNSFC-10971234, NNSFC-10962137,NNSFC-11001278), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation funded project (NO. 20090450191), Natural Science Foundation of GuangDong (NO. 9451027501002564) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

# References

[1] G. Chen and D. Wang, Global solutions of nonlinear magnetohydrodynamics with large initial data, J. Differential Equations, **182** (2002), 344–376.

[2] C.M. Dafermos and L. Hisao, Global smooth thermomechanical processes in one-dimensional thermoviscoelasticity, Nonlinear Anal., **6** (1982), 435–454.

[3] B. Ducomet and A. Zlotnik, Lyapunov functional method for 1D radiative and reactive viscous gas dynamics, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **177** (2005), 185–229.

[4] B. Ducomet and A. Zlotnik, On the large-time behavior of 1D radiative and reactive viscous flows for higher-order kinetics, Nonlinear Anal., **63** (2005), 1011–1033.

[5] B. Ducomet and E. Feireisl, A regularizing effect of radiation in the equations of fluid dynamics, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **28** (2005), 661–685.

[6] B. Ducomet and E. Feireil, The equations of magnetohydrodynamics: On the interaction between matter and radiation in the evolution of gaseous stars, Comm. Math. Phys., **226** (2006), 595–629.

[7] J. Fan, S. Jiang and G. Nakamura, Vanishing shear viscosity limit in the magnetohydrodynamic equations, Commun. Math. Phys., **270** (2007), 691–708.

[8] D. Hoff and E. Tsyganov, Uniqueness and continuous dependence of weak solutions in cpmpressbible magnetodynamics. Z. Angew. Math. phys., **56** (2005), 791–804.

[9] X. Hu and D. Wang, Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamics flows, Comm. Math. Phys., **283** (2008), 255–284

[10] X. Hu and D. Wang, Compactness of weak solutions to the three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamics equations, J. Differential Equations, **245** (2008), 2176–2198.

[11] S. Jiang, On initial boundary value problems for a viscous, heat-conducting, one-dimensional real gas, J. Differential Equations, **110** (1994), 157–181.

[12] S. Kawashima and M. Okada, Smooth global solutions for the one-dimensional equations in magnetodynamics, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, Math. Sci. **58** (1982), 384–387.

[13] B. Kawohl, Global existence of large solutions to initial boundary value problems for a viscous, heat-conducting, one-dimensional real gas, J. Differential Equations, **58** (1985), 76–103.

[14] O.A. Ladyzhenskaja, V.A.Solonnikov and N.N. Uralcevo, Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type, Transc. Math. Monogor., Vol.23, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI,1968.

[15] D.M. Mihalas and B. Weibel-Mihalas, Foundations of radiative hydrodynamics, Oxford University Press, New York, 1984.

[16] X.L. Qin and Z.A.Yao, Global solutions of a radiative and reactive gas with self-gravitation for higher-order kinetics, submitted.

[17] X.L. Qin and Z.A.Yao, The initial boundary value problem to planar magnetohydrodynamic equations with radiation and large initial data, preprint.

[18] Y.M. Qin and G.L. Hu, Global smooth solutions for 1D thermally radiative magnetohydrodynamics, J. Math. Phys., **52** (2011), 023102.

[19] C. Rohde and W. Zajaczkowski, On the Cauchy problem for the equations of ideal compressible MHD fluids with radiation, Appl. Math., **48** (2003), 257–277.

[20] C. Rohde and W.A. Yong, Dissipative entropy and global smooth solutions in radiation hydrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., **18** (2008), 2151–2174.

[21] P. Secchi, On the motion of gaseous stars in presence of radiation, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **15** (1990), 185–204.

[22] M. Umehara and A. Tani, Global solution to the one-dimensional equations for a self-gravitating viscous radiative and reactive gas, J. Differential Equations, **234** (2007), 439–463.

[23] M. Umehara and A. Tani, Temporally global solution to the equations for a spherically symmetric viscous radiative and reactive gas over the rigid core, Analysis and Applications, **6** (2008), 1–29.

[24] D. Wang, Large solutions to the initial boundary value problem for planar magnetohydrodynamics, SIAM J. Appl. Math., **23** (2003), 1424–1441.

[25] D. Wang, The initial-boundary-value problem for real viscous heat-conduting flow with shear viscosity, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **133** (2003), 969–986

[26] D. Wang, Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for viscous compressible flows, Nonlinear Anal., **52** (2003), 1867–1890.

[27] J. Wang and F. Xie, Global existence of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem for a 1D radiative gas, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **346** (2008), 314–326.

[28] J. W. Zhang and F. Xie, Global solution for a one-dimensional model problem in thermally radiative magnetodydrodynamics, J. Differential Equations, **245** (2008), 1853–1882.

[29] X.H. Zhong and S. Jiang, Local existence and finite-time blow-up in multidimensional radiation hydrodynamics, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **9** (2007), 543–564.